

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/159,481	09/23/1998	JOSEPH GERARD AGUILAR	8183-019-999	5173
20583	7590 04/09/2003			
PENNIE AND EDMONDS			EXAMI	NER
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100362711			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	1.
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/159,481	AGUILAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit				
	Michael N. Opsas					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Sources to application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/23</u>	<u>3/98</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-fir	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaction requireme	ant				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∐ approve	d b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	- <del>-</del>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/159,481

Art Unit: 2655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-20, drawn to signal filtering and transformation, classified in class 704, subclass 203.
  - II. Claims 21-28, and 44-50, drawn to parametric representations using pitch parameters, classified in class 704, subclass 207.
  - III. Claims 29-37, drawn to vector quantization, classified in class 704, subclass 229.
  - IV. Claims 38-43, drawn to LPC, classified in class 704, subclass 219.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions pertain to signal filtering and transformation in Invention #1, classified in 704, subclass 203, and pitch parametric representations of a signal in Invention #2, classified in 704, subclass 207.

 $\circ$ 

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/159,481

Art Unit: 2655

- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions pertain to signal filtering and transformation in Invention #1, classified in 704, subclass 203, and vector quantization in Invention #3, classified in class 704, subclass 229.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions pertain to signal filtering and transformation in Invention #1, classified in 704, subclass 203, and LPC in Invention #4, classified in class 704, subclass 219.
- 5. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions pertain to pitch parametric representations of a signal in Invention #2, classified in 704, subclass 207, and vector quantization in Invention #3, classified in class 704, subclass 229.
- 6. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Application/Control Number: 09/159,481

Art Unit: 2655

inventions pertain to pitch parametric representations of a signal in Invention #2, classified in 704, subclass 207, and LPC in Invention #4, classified in class 704, subclass 219.

- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions pertain to vector quantization in Invention #3, classified in class 704, subclass 229 and LPC in Invention #4, classified in class 704, subclass 219.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. A telephone call was made to Mr. Francis Morris on 3/30/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

 $\bigcirc$ 

Application/Control Number: 09/159,481

Art Unit: 2655

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael N. Opsasnick whose telephone number is 703-305-4089.

The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6306 for regular

communications and 703-308-6306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9740.

Michael N. Opsasnick March 30, 2003

DORIS H. TO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

0